

1 Steven G. Sklaver (237612)
ssklaver@susmangodfrey.com
2 SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.
1900 Avenue of the Stars, 14th Floor
3 Los Angeles, California 90067
Telephone: (310) 789-3100
4 Facsimile: (310) 789-3150

5 Seth Ard (*pro hac vice*)
sard@susmangodfrey.com
6 Ryan Kirkpatrick (243824)
rkirkpatrick@susmangodfrey.com
7 SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.
1301 Avenue of the Americas, 32nd Floor
8 New York, New York 10019
Telephone: (212) 336-8330
9 Facsimile: (212) 336-8340

10 Kevin Downs (331993)
kdowns@susmangodfrey.com
11 SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.
1000 Louisiana Street, Suite 5100
12 Houston, Texas 77002
Telephone: (713) 651-9366
13 Facsimile: (713) 654-6666

14 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

15 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
16 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
17 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

19 JOE S. YEARBY, on behalf of himself and all
20 others similarly situated,

21 Plaintiff,

22 v.

23 AMERICAN NATIONAL INSURANCE
COMPANY,

24 Defendant.

Case No. 3:20-cv-09222-EMC

**DECLARATION OF STEVEN G.
SKLAVER IN SUPPORT OF
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR
PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS
ACTION SETTLEMENT**

1 I, Steven G. Sklaver, hereby declare as follows:

2 1. I submit this declaration in support of Plaintiff’s motion for preliminary approval of
3 the proposed class action settlement between (i) Joe S. Yearby, individually and on behalf of the
4 Class; and (ii) Defendant American National Insurance Company (“ANICO”).

5 2. I am a member in good standing of the State Bar of California. I am a partner of the
6 law firm of Susman Godfrey L.L.P., and counsel of record for Plaintiff in the above-captioned
7 action. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein and, if called to testify as a witness,
8 could and would testify competently thereto. Susman Godfrey has significant experience with
9 insurance litigation and class actions, including cost of insurance actions and settlements thereof.
10 A copy of the firm’s class action profile and my profile along with the profiles of the other counsels
11 of record for Plaintiff are attached hereto as **Exhibit 1**.

12 3. I was among the principal negotiators of the proposed class action settlement (the
13 “Settlement”). Following extensive negotiations, the parties reached an agreement on November
14 22, 2022, and the parties then negotiated a long-form Settlement Agreement, a true and correct
15 copy of which is attached as **Exhibit 2**. A true and correct copy of Plaintiff’s policy is attached as
16 **Exhibit 3**. A true and correct copy of the Plan of Allocation is attached as **Exhibit 4**. True and
17 correct copies of the verdict form, partial decertification order, judgment, and the trial transcript
18 from *Meek v. Kansas City Life Ins. Co.*, 19-cv-472, Dkts. 311, 329-30 (W.D. Mo. May 25 & June
19 20, 2023), are attached as **Exhibit 5** and **Exhibit 6**. A chart showing a comparison of outcomes for
20 three other COI cases in which Susman Godfrey has been involved for which final approval has
21 been granted, compared to Plaintiff’s proposed settlement with ANICO, is attached as **Exhibit 7**.
22 It is the opinion of Class Counsel that the settlement is fair, adequate, and reasonable.

23 **THE LITIGATION**

24 4. In December 2020, Plaintiff filed a putative class action lawsuit, asserting a claim
25 of breach of contract against ANICO. Dkt. 1.

26 5. ANICO moved to transfer venue to the Southern District of Texas and to dismiss
27 based on lack of personal jurisdiction, res judicata, and failure to state a claim. Dkt. 25-28.
28 Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a)(1), Plaintiff filed a First Amended Complaint

1 (“FAC”) on April 23, 2021. Dkt 31. ANICO moved to dismiss the FAC on similar grounds as the
2 original complaint, and also filed its renewed motion to transfer the action. Dkts. 43-44. Plaintiff
3 opposed the motions, Dkts. 46-47, after conducting jurisdictional discovery by serving document
4 requests on April 23, 2021. After hearing oral argument, the Court denied the motion to transfer
5 and granted in part and denied in part the motion to dismiss, allowing Plaintiff to amend his tolling
6 allegation. Dkt. 57. Plaintiff did so by filing a Second Amended Complaint (“SAC”). Dkt. 61.
7 ANICO did not move to dismiss the SAC, and instead served its Answer. Dkt. 69.

8 6. After an unsuccessful first mediation on February 16, 2022, which was conducted
9 at the suggestion of the Court, see Dkt. 41 at 2 (setting ADR deadline and limiting discovery until
10 after the completion of ADR), the parties engaged in fact discovery, which included the service of
11 41 Requests for Production of documents, extensive negotiation over the scope of production and
12 ESI protocol, and production and review of over 18,000 pages of documents and data sets, including
13 documents produced pursuant to third-party subpoenas served on ANICO’s independent auditors,
14 Deloitte & Touche LLP and KPMG LLP, following numerous meet and confer efforts with both
15 the auditors and ANICO. Plaintiff also served a Rule 30(b)(6) deposition notice on ANICO and,
16 following ANICO’s objections to the notice, engaged in meet and confer efforts over the scope of
17 the topics shortly before the parties reached an agreement on settlement.

18 **MEDIATION AND SETTLEMENT**

19 7. The Settlement is the result of extensive, arms-length negotiations between the
20 parties with the assistance of an experienced mediator, Vaughn R. Walker, a retired United States
21 District Judge.

22 8. Through the life of the case, the parties have exchanged numerous settlement offers
23 and counter-offers and engaged in an unsuccessful mediation on February 16, 2022, in person in
24 San Francisco. Following extensive document and third-party discovery, the parties renewed
25 mediation discussions in September 2022 and on November 22, 2022, the parties attended another
26 mediation which resulted in agreement for a final settlement. A long-form settlement agreement
27 was heavily negotiated and agreed to thereafter. Ex. 2 (Settlement Agreement).

1 9. Throughout the process, the Settlement negotiations were conducted by highly
 2 qualified and experienced counsel on both sides at arm’s length. Class Counsel was well informed
 3 of material facts and the negotiations were hard-fought and non-collusive. Class Counsel analyzed
 4 all of the contested legal and factual issues to thoroughly evaluate ANICO’s contentions, and
 5 advocated in the settlement negotiation process for a fair and reasonable settlement that serves the
 6 best interests of the Class. Given the complexities and expert witness issues involved in COI cases,
 7 they are extraordinarily expensive to try, and there was a serious risk that further litigation expenses
 8 would have severely diminished the distributions given that the total alleged historical damages
 9 through February 28, 2023 are only \$5,704,128. *See, e.g., Leonard, et. al. v. John Hancock Life*
 10 *Ins. Co. of New York*, No. 1:18-cv-04994-AKH, Dkt. 208 at 14 (S.D.N.Y. March 11, 2022)
 11 (expenses of \$1,427,596.29); *Helen Hanks v. Voya Retirement Life Ins. & Annuity Co.*, No. 1:16-
 12 cv-06399-PKC, Dkt. 293 at 16 (S.D.N.Y. April 4, 2022) (expenses of \$2,183,929.18).

13 10. The Settlement Agreement provides for a Settlement Class of “[a]ll owners of
 14 universal life (including variable universal life) insurance Policies issued in California by American
 15 National Insurance Company, or its predecessors in interest, that provide that cost of insurance rates
 16 are determined based on expectations as to future mortality experience, and that were subjected to
 17 monthly cost of insurance deductions on or after January 1, 2010,” with the exclusion of the policies
 18 that timely and validly opt out during the Rule 23(e)(4) opt-out period (referred to as the “Opt-
 19 Outs” in the Settlement Agreement). *See* Ex. 2 §§ 5, 30. The awards and releases in the Settlement
 20 Agreement apply only to the Settlement Class.

21 11. The Settlement awards both cash relief and non-cash relief to the Settlement Class.
 22 With respect to the cash relief, a \$5 million Settlement Fund will be funded for the benefit of the
 23 Settlement Class. *See* Settlement Agreement § 39. This amount will be reduced, on a *pro-rata* basis
 24 measured by the measured by the face amount for each policy that timely and validly opts out
 25 during the Rule 23(e)(4) opt-out period. *See id.* § 45.¹ No portion of the Final Settlement Fund (*i.e.*
 26 the post-reduction amount) will revert back to ANICO. *See id.* §§ 40, 45.

27 _____
 28 ¹ For example, “if 1% of the total face amount of the in-scope policies owned by members of the Class is attributable to Opt-Outs, the Settlement Fund will be reduced by 1% (*i.e.*, to \$4,950,000).”

1 12. The Settlement Agreement also provides two forms of significant non-cash relief.
 2 *First*, for a period of five years after the date on which the Court approves the settlement, “American
 3 National agrees that Cost of Insurance Rates on the Class Policies will not be increased above the
 4 year-to-year increases contemplated under Defendant’s current rate schedules in effect on
 5 November 23, 2022.” *See* Settlement Agreement § 49. *Second*, “American National agrees to not
 6 take any legal action (including asserting as an affirmative defense or counter-claim), or cause to
 7 take any legal action, that seeks to void, rescind, cancel, have declared void, or seeks to deny
 8 coverage under or deny a death claim for any Class Policy based on: (1) an alleged lack of valid
 9 insurable interest under any applicable law or equitable principles; or (2) any misrepresentation
 10 allegedly made on the application for, or otherwise made in applying for the policy” *Id.* § 50.

11 13. Once the settlement becomes final, the Settlement Class and certain related parties
 12 (referred to as the “Releasing Parties” in the Settlement Agreement) will release ANICO, certain
 13 related parties (referred to as the “Released Parties” in the Settlement Agreement) from “all Claims,
 14 from whatever jurisdiction, arising out of or related to any Policy, or Policies, that were alleged or
 15 could have been alleged in the Action arising out of the same Factual Predicate as that alleged in
 16 the Action and/or as clarified herein.” Settlement Agreement §§ 31–33, 67. Expressly excluded
 17 from this release are claims arising from (i) future COI rate scale increases (after the 5 year COI
 18 rate freeze expires), (ii) other future increases to policy charges or credits that could not have been
 19 asserted in this action, or (iii) any failure to pay any death benefits that may be owed. *Id.* §§ 69, 71

20 14. The Settlement provides for an incentive award of up to \$25,000 for Plaintiff and
 21 class representative Joe Yearby for her services on behalf of the Settlement Class. *See* Settlement
 22 Agreement §§ 21, 60. The Settlement Agreement also provides for attorneys’ fees in an amount not
 23 to exceed 33 1/3% of the gross benefits provided to the Settlement Class and reimbursement for all
 24 expenses incurred or to be incurred. *See id.* §§ 61–62. The amounts as approved by the Court will
 25 be paid out of the Final Settlement Fund. *See id.* §§ 19, 21, 48, 61–62.

26
 27
 28 _____
See id.

DECLARATION OF STEVEN G. SKLAVER IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF’S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY
 APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

Case No. 3:20-cv-09222-EMC 5

EXHIBIT 1

Insurance

Susman Godfrey has a long history of litigating and winning significant insurance matters on both sides of the “v.” For plaintiffs, this includes representing insureds, policy owners, and businesses in national class actions, life insurance disputes and business interruption matters against some of the nation’s largest insurers. For the insurance industry, this includes defending companies such as ACE Limited and ACE Bermuda (now Chubb), Equitas, and the members of the London Insurance Market against millions of dollars of potential exposure when litigation arises.

Insurance Class Actions

- ***Leonard et al. v. John Hancock Life Insurance Co. of New York et al.*** Secured a settlement valued at \$143 million, before fees and expenses, including a cash fund of over \$93 million and an agreement by John Hancock Life Insurance Company not to impose a higher cost of insurance rate scale for 5 years (even in the face of a worldwide pandemic), on behalf of a class of approximately 1,200 policyholders who alleged that Hancock breached the terms of their respective life insurance policies and overcharged them for life insurance. When granting final approval, the Court held that the settlement provided an “absolutely extraordinary” recovery rate for the class, and lauded Susman Godfrey’s “extraordinary work.”
- ***Helen Hanks v. Voya Retirement Insurance and Annuity Company.*** Negotiated settlement worth \$118 million, before fees and expenses, including a cash fund of over \$92 million and an agreement by Voya not to impose a higher rate scale for 5 years, on behalf of a certified class of 46,000+ policyholders over allegations that Voya improperly raised cost-of-insurance charges. Over the course of litigation, the team from Susman Godfrey secured certification of the nationwide class and defeated summary judgment. The Court recognized the quality of the work, stating: “I want to commend you all for the work done on the pretrial order and motions in limine . . . I’m very happy to have you as lawyers appearing before me.”
- ***37 Bensen Parkway v. John Hancock Life Insurance Company.*** Secured a \$91.25 million settlement all-cash, non-reversionary settlement (before fees and expenses) for insurance policy owners against John Hancock Life Insurance Company. The Honorable Paul Gardephe described the settlement as a “quite extraordinary . . . result achieved on behalf of the class.”
- ***Fleisher v. Phoenix Life Insurance.*** Served as lead counsel to plaintiffs in a case that challenged Phoenix Life Insurance Company’s and PHL Variable Insurance Company’s decision to raise the cost of insurance (“COI”) nationwide on life insurance policy owners. After winning class certification and defeating two motions for class decertification and a motion for summary judgment, the case settled the day of the final pretrial conference—less than two months before trial with terms that included: a \$48.5 million cash fund (\$34 million after fees and expenses), a COI freeze through 2020, and a covenant by Phoenix not to challenge the policies, worth \$9 billion in face value, when the policies mature on the grounds of lack of insurable interest or misrepresentations in the application. At the final approval hearing, the Court concluded: “I want to say publicly that I think this is an excellent settlement. I think this

is a superb—this may be the best settlement pound for pound for the class that I’ve ever seen.”

- ***Brach Family Foundation et al. v. AXA Equitable Life Insurance.*** Serving as lead counsel in a case challenging AXA’s decision to raise cost of insurance rates on life insurance policies nationwide, and alleging that AXA made misrepresentations to policyholders in its insurance illustrations leading up to the cost of insurance increase. The Court certified two nationwide classes, one for policy-based claims and one for misrepresentation-based claims.
- ***Hanks et al. v. The Lincoln Life & Annuity Company of New York, et al.*** Serving as lead counsel in a case challenging Voya Life Insurance Company’s decision to raise cost of insurance rates on life insurance policies nationwide. The Court certified a nationwide breach of contract class.
- ***In re Lincoln National COI Litigation.*** Serving as co-interim-lead counsel in two cases challenging Lincoln National’s decision to raise cost of insurance rates nationwide.
- ***Brighton Trustees et al. v. Genworth Life and Annuity Insurance Company.*** Serving as interim lead class counsel in a case challenging Genworth’s decision to raise cost of insurance rates nationwide.
- ***AvMed Inc. et al. v. BrownGreer, US Bancorp, and John Does.*** Represented a group of more than forty health plans (who between them comprise more than 70% of the US market for private health insurance) asserting healthcare reimbursement liens against claimants to the \$4.85 billion Vioxx compensation fund. Susman Godfrey reached a groundbreaking settlement with the Vioxx Plaintiffs’ Steering Committee, guaranteeing them certain payouts on their liens covering participating plaintiffs. *American Lawyer* magazine featured this settlement in the “Big Suits” column at the time of this decision

Life Insurance

- ***The Lincoln Life and Annuity Company of New York v. Berck;*** and ***Berck v. The Lincoln Life and Annuity Company of New York.*** Won a reversal in a \$20 million life settlement rescission lawsuit against Lincoln Life & Annuity Company of New York as trial and appellate counsel for a group of investors. Lincoln’s lawsuit was based on allegations that the insurance policies lacked an insurable interest because they were procured by third-parties for investment purposes and because there was net worth and other misrepresentations in the applications. The appellate court ordered that the trial court enter judgment in favor of the trust affirmed the trial court victory that Lincoln’s fraud claim was time barred because the policies were incontestable. The \$20 million policy matured before the trial court entered judgment in favor of the policy owner. We then sued the insurance carrier to effectuate payment of the \$20 million policy. The case was the feature cover story in the publication, *California Lawyer*, at the time of this decision.
- ***The Lincoln Life and Annuity Company of New York v. Janis and Berck.*** Represented Jonathan Berck, as Trustee of the Rosamond Janis Insurance Trust, in a \$5 million rescission claim brought by the Lincoln Life and Annuity Company of New York for alleged violations of

New York's insurable interest laws and other "STOLI" (stranger originated life insurance) related claims. In this matter summary judgment was granted in favor of our client.

- ***In re James V. Cotter, Living Trust, Ellen Marie Cotter, Margaret Cotter, Petitioners, v. James J. Cotter, Jr., Respondent.*** Achieved a successful verdict invalidating a will on grounds of both undue influence and incapacity in this trust and estates case in Los Angeles Superior Court.

Other Significant Insurance Cases

- ***Universal Cable Productions v. Atlantic Specialty Insurance.*** Represented Universal Cable Productions (UCP)—a subsidiary of NBC Universal—in its dispute with insurance carrier, Atlantic, which claims it was not required to provide coverage when Hamas bombing forced UCP to relocate filming of the TV miniseries "Dig" out of Jerusalem. After a successful appeal to the Ninth Circuit by Susman Godfrey on the scope of the exclusions, UCP then received a full win in the district court which found in its favor on all remaining liability issues. The case—which was set for trial on the amount of damages Atlantic owed to UCP for the relocation, whether Atlantic's denial of coverage was done in bad faith and the amount of punitive damages owed to UCP—was settled favorably on the eve of trial.
- ***Alley Theater v. Hanover Insurance.*** Secured a partial summary judgment win for Houston's historic Alley Theatre in an insurance coverage lawsuit the firm handled pro bono. The suit claimed the theatre was not properly reimbursed by Hanover Insurance Company for claims related to business interruption losses sustained during Hurricane Harvey. The firm later scored its second victory for the theater when they settled the final piece of the litigation—terms of this settlement are confidential.
- ***Insurance Litigation for Walmart.*** Lead counsel for Walmart on insurance coverage claims against certain of its insurers, regarding the settlement of claims arising out of an accident on the NJ Turnpike that injured comedian Tracy Morgan and others.
- ***LyondellBasell v. Allianz Insurance.*** Secured a confidential recovery (ultimately disclosed in an SEC filing as more than \$100 million) for LyondellBassell Industries in a London arbitration over business interruption losses arising from Hurricane Ike. Lyondell sought coverage for losses caused by a hurricane, but faced a \$200 million deductible self-insured retention, which the insurers claimed exceeded any losses. We handled all coverage, accounting, and engineering issues (which included significant damage to refinery equipment and delays to turnaround construction projects). The case settled on the eve of the final evidentiary hearing after we won key disputes regarding certain insurance coverage and claim quantification issues.
- ***Confidential Private Transportation Company Litigation.*** Hired to represent a private transportation company against its insurer for bad-faith failure to settle. The firm was engaged after a South Texas jury returned a \$25+ million verdict on personal injury claims against our client, far in excess of the insurance policy limits. The matter was resolved without the need to file a lawsuit, and without the client paying anything out of pocket on the verdict.

- **Sabre v. The Insurance Company of the State of Pennsylvania.** Hired months before trial to represent the worldwide travel technology leader in a \$100 million insurance coverage dispute. Successfully settled the case on the eve of trial.
- **Aetna v. Ace Bermuda.** Represented Ace Bermuda Insurance (now part of Chubb) in a \$25 million coverage claim brought by the bankruptcy estate of Boston Chicken in bankruptcy court in Phoenix, Arizona. The case raised novel issues of bankruptcy procedure, international law, and the enforcement of arbitration agreements involving a bankruptcy trustee.
- **London Insurance Market Asbestos Cases.** Defended insurance groups in the London Insurance Market including Equitas, a Lloyds of London runoff company, in litigation regarding asbestos insurance coverage, including bankruptcy adversary proceedings regarding Dresser Industries, a Halliburton subsidiary; Babcock & Wilcox Co., a McDermott International subsidiary; and Pittsburgh Corning Corp., a PPG Industries subsidiary. The firm tried the Babcock & Wilcox matter to the bench for many weeks and won. In both the Dresser Industries and the Babcock & Wilcox matters, our team ultimately achieved settlements for the London Market at very large discounts from the exposed policy limits, saving the firm's clients hundreds of millions of dollars. Pittsburgh Corning ultimately withdrew the bankruptcy plan to which our clients were objecting.
- **City of Houston v. Hertz.** Won a no liability verdict for The Hertz Corporation in a high-profile jury trial in which the plaintiff alleged violations of state insurance licensing laws and unfair and deceptive practices. In less than an hour of deliberations, the jury found for Hertz on all issues and rejected plaintiff's claims for attorneys' fees.

SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.



Steven G. Sklaver Partner

Los Angeles
(310) 789-3123
ssklaver@susmangodfrey.com

Overview

Named one of [Lawdragon's 500 Leading Lawyers](#) since 2020, a recipient of the [California Lawyer Attorneys of the Year](#) award in 2017 and selected as "Top Plaintiff Lawyers in all of California" in [2016](#) and [2017](#) by *The Daily Journal*; Steven Sklaver has secured substantial litigation victories for both plaintiffs and defendants. For plaintiffs, Sklaver was lead counsel for a certified class of insurance policy owners, helping them achieve what the Court in the Southern District of New York described as "the best settlement pound for pound for the class that I've ever seen." You can read the Court's statement in full [here](#). You can also read more about the case in The Deal's profile on the litigation [here](#). Sklaver was also lead trial and appellate counsel for investors against an insurance company that resulted in a complete victory and full pay-out of a \$20 million life insurance policy. A copy of the appellate court decision is available [here](#). To listen to Sklaver's appellate oral argument, click [here](#). That matter was the feature cover story of the [April 2012 California Lawyer](#).

Sklaver also represents the former members of the legendary rock group The Turtles in *Flo & Eddie, Inc. v. Sirius XM Radio, Inc.* (C.D. Cal.) in a certified class action lawsuit against Sirius XM that settled less than 48 hours before the jury trial was scheduled to begin. Sirius XM agreed to pay at least \$25.5 million (over \$16 million after fees and expenses) and royalties under a 10-year license that is valued up to \$62 million (over \$41 million after fees and expenses) as compensation for publicly performing without a license Pre-1972 sound recordings. The settlement was [approved by the Court](#), and has received widespread media coverage from publications such as [The New York Times](#), [Billboard](#), [The Hollywood Reporter](#), [Law360](#), [Rolling Stone](#), [Variety](#), [Reuters](#) and [Managing IP](#).

Within six months after the Sirius XM class action settled, so did Sklaver's [copyright class action](#) brought on behalf of artists owed mechanical royalties for compositions made available by Spotify, the leader in digital music streaming. [Spotify agreed to a class action settlement valued at over \\$112 million](#) (over \$95 million after fees and expenses), a settlement for which the district court granted final approval and remains subject to a pending appeal. You can read more about this matter in [Billboard](#).

Sklaver's many significant and widely covered class action results in 2016 helped secure Susman Godfrey's recognition as *Law360's* "Class Action Group of the Year" in early 2017. You can read that article announcing the award [here](#).

For defendants, Sklaver has handled numerous employment class actions across the country. He served, along with the Managing Partner of Susman Godfrey, as trial counsel for Wal-Mart, the world's largest retailer, trying a large employment class action in California. He also successfully defended and defeated class certification in numerous, substantial wage and hour matters for Alta-Dena Certified Dairy, LLC, dairy producers for Dean Foods, one of the leading food and beverage companies in the United States. Copies of the pro-employer decisions are available [here](#), [here](#), and [here](#).

Sklaver has tried complex commercial and class action disputes — including jury trials and bench trials in federal and state court, as well as arbitrations. Sklaver graduated cum laude from Dartmouth College, magna cum laude and Order of the Coif from Northwestern University School of Law, and clerked for Judge David Ebel on the United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit. Sklaver also won the National Debate Tournament for Dartmouth College, and is just one of four individuals in debate history to win three national championships at the high school and collegiate level. From 2010-2022, Sklaver has been recognized every year as a “Super Lawyer” in Southern California, awarded to no more than the top 5% of the lawyers in the state of California (Law & Politics Magazine, Thomson Reuters).

Sklaver currently serves on the Board of Directors for the Western Center on Law & Poverty, the Los Angeles Metropolitan Debate League, and the Association of Business Trial Lawyers. Sklaver was also selected as the 2016-2017 Ninth Circuit Judicial Conference Lawyer Representative.

Education

- Dartmouth College (B.A., *cum laude*)
- Northwestern University School of Law (J.D., *magna cum laude* and Order of the Coif)

Clerkship

Law Clerk to the Honorable David M. Ebel, United States Court of Appeal for the Tenth Circuit

Honors and Distinctions

- *Lawdragon* 500 Leading Litigator ([2022](#))
- [Litigation Star](#), Benchmark Litigation (2022, Euromoney)
- Recommended Lawyer – Litigation – Labor and Employment, Best Lawyers in American (2020 – 2023, Woodward White, Inc.)
- Southern California California Super Lawyer (2010 – 2023, Thomson Reuters)
- *Lawdragon* 500 Leading Lawyers in America ([2020](#), [2021](#), [2022](#), [2023](#))
- *Lawdragon* 500 Leading Plaintiff Financial Lawyers ([2019](#), [2020](#), [2021](#), [2022](#), [2023](#))
- [Outstanding Antitrust Litigation Achievement in Private Law Practice](#) by the [American Antitrust Institute](#) (2019) for work on *In re: Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation*.
- [California’s Lawyer Attorneys of the Year](#) in 2017 by *The Daily Journal*. Click [here](#) for a photo of Sklaver, along with co-counsel, receiving the award.
- [Top 30 Plaintiff Lawyers in all of California in 2016](#) by *The Daily Journal*
- Southern California “Super Lawyers” awarded to no more than the top 5% of the lawyers in the state of California (2010 – 2021, *Law & Politics Magazine*, Thomson Reuters)
- Northwestern Law Review member and editor
- National Debate Tournament (NDT) collegiate championship winner

Articles and Speeches

“Federal Power to Commandeer State Courts: Implications for the Theory of Judicial Federalism,” 32 Ind. L.

Rev. 71 (1998) (with Martin H. Redish, Professor, Northwestern University School of Law).

Speaking Engagements

- “Compliance Track: Cost of Insurance Litigation Overview” – The 24th Annual Fall Life Settlement and Compliance Conference (Orlando, Florida)
- “Cost of Insurance” – The Life Settlements Conference 2018 (New York City, NY)
- “Cost of Insurance: What Has Been Filed and Decided and What Will Happen Next?” Anticipating Tomorrow – A Symposium on Emerging Legal Issues in Life Insurance. (Philadelphia, PA)
- “Current COI Increases – What’s it All About? The Legal Perspective.” ReFocus2017 Conference (Las Vegas, NV)
- “Litigation Update: Will the Arthur Kramer Insurable-Interest Decision Lift the Cloud Over Much of the Litigation in the Market?” The 2011 International Life Settlements Conference (London, England)
- “Seeking Interlocutory Appellate Review of Class-Certification Rulings: Tactics, Strategies, and Selected Issues.” Bridgeport 10th Annual Class Action Litigation Conference (Los Angeles, CA)
- PwC 2010 Securities Litigation Study Luncheon. (Los Angeles, CA)
- Life Settlement Litigation Update. 2010 Life Settlement Compliance Conference and Legal Round Table (Atlanta, GA)
- “Litigation: What are the Legal Trends Affecting the Market?” The Life Settlements Conference 2010 (Las Vegas, NV)

Professional Associations and Memberships

- United States Supreme Court
- United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth and Tenth Circuits
- United States District Courts for the Central, Southern, Northern, and Eastern Districts of California and District of Colorado
- Admitted to state bars of Illinois, Colorado, and California
- Board of Directors, Los Angeles Metropolitan Debate League
- Board of Directors, Western Center on Law & Poverty

Notable Representations

Class Actions

- **Copyright Infringement:** Sklaver serves as co-lead counsel with the Gradstein & Marzano firm representing Flo & Eddie (the founding members of 70’s music group, The Turtles) along with a class of owners of pre-1972 sound recordings for copyright violations by music provider Sirius XM. The day before trial was to commence before a California jury in federal court in late 2016, Flo & Eddie reached a landmark settlement with Sirius XM on behalf of the class in a deal potentially worth \$99 million. The Court granted [final approval of the settlement](#) in May 2017. Click [here](#) for more. Sklaver with his co-leads were recently named “[California Lawyer Attorneys of the Year](#)” by *The Daily Journal* for their outstanding legal work on this case.
- In May 2017, Sklaver, as co-lead counsel with Gradstein Marzano, secured a deal valued at \$112 million to settle a class-action lawsuit with Spotify brought on behalf of music copyright owners. The suit alleged that Spotify made music available online without securing mechanical rights from the tracks’ composers. Under the terms of the deal, Spotify will pay songwriters \$43.45 million for past royalties, as well as commit

to pay ongoing royalties that are valued at \$63 million. Read more about the case [here](#) and see Billboards coverage of it [here](#).

- **Insurance:** In a seminal insurance class action filed in the Southern District of New York, resolved in September 2015, Mr. Sklaver served as lead counsel in a case that challenged Phoenix Life Insurance Company's and PHL Variable Insurance Company's decision to raise the cost of insurance ("COI") nationwide on life insurance policy owners. After winning class certification and defeating two motions for class decertification and a motion for summary judgment, the case settled the day of the final Pretrial Conference — less than two months before trial. Settlement terms included: \$48.5 million cash fund (\$34 million after fees and expenses), COI freeze through 2020, and a covenant by Phoenix not to challenge the policies, worth \$9 billion in face value, when the policies mature on the grounds of lack of insurable interest or misrepresentations in the application. At the final approval hearing, the Court concluded, ***"I want to say publicly that I think this is an excellent settlement. I think this is a superb – this may be the best settlement pound for pound for the class that I've ever seen."*** You can read the statement in full on page 3 [here](#). You can also read more about the case in *The Deal's* feature on the matter [here](#).
- **Antitrust:** *In re Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation*. In the largest price-fixing cartel ever brought to light, Mr. Sklaver and a team of Susman Godfrey lawyers run a massive MDL litigation in which the firm serves as co-lead counsel for a class of consumer plaintiffs in multidistrict price-fixing cases pending in a Detroit, Michigan federal court. The actions, alleging anti-competitive conduct, were brought by indirect purchasers of component parts included in over 20 million automobiles, and involve parts such as wire harnesses, instrument panel clusters, fuel senders, heater control panels and alternators. The Department of Justice has imposed fines exceeding \$2.6 billion pursuant to guilty plea agreements with some of the defendants, and its investigation is still ongoing. The Susman Godfrey team together with its co-lead counsel has defeated multiple motions to dismiss. Settlements have been reached with a certain defendants for a combined \$620 million thus far. Final settlement (after fees and expenses) has not yet been determined. The case remains ongoing against the remaining defendants.

LIFE SETTLEMENTS

- Represented Jonathan Berck, as Trustee of the Rosamond Janis Insurance Trust in a \$5 million rescission claim brought by the Lincoln Life and Annuity Company of New York for alleged violations of New York's insurable interest laws and other "STOLI" (stranger originated life insurance) related claims. RESULT: Summary judgment granted in favor of my client. A copy of the summary judgment order is available [here](#).
- Won reversal in a \$20 million life settlement rescission lawsuit against Lincoln Life & Annuity Company of New York. Lincoln's lawsuit was based on allegations that the insurance policies lacked an insurable interest because they were procured by third-parties for investment purposes and because there were net worth and other misrepresentations in the applications. The appellate court ordered that the trial court enter judgment in favor of the trust. The appellate court also affirmed our trial court victory that Lincoln's fraud claim was time barred because the policies were incontestable. The case is *Lincoln Life & Annuity Co. of New York v. Jonathan Berck, as Trustee of the Jack Teren Insurance Trust*, Court of Appeal Case No. D056373 (Cal. Ct. App. May 17, 2011). A copy of the appellate court decision is available [here](#). To listen to Mr. Sklaver's appellate oral argument, [click here](#). The *Teren* case was the feature, cover story of the [April 2012 California Lawyer](#).
- Represents investors, trusts, trustees, brokers, and insureds in life settlement and STOLI litigation across the country against insurance companies seeking to rescind policies with face values worth more than \$125 million. Mr. Sklaver is also a frequent speaker and commentator on life settlement and STOLI litigation, in both [trade publications](#) and [conferences](#).

FINANCIAL FRAUD

- Represented Royal Standard Minerals, which was the plaintiff in a federal securities lawsuit against a "group" of more than ten dissident shareholders for failing to file Schedule 13-D disclosures. RESULT: Preliminary injunction granted and final judgment entered that, among other things, required for three years

the votes of all shares owned by any of the defendants to be voted as directed by the Board of Directors of my client.

- Represented plaintiff who held millions of WorldCom shares as an opt-out to the class in *In re WorldCom Securities Litig.* RESULT: Settled on confidential terms.
- Represented plaintiff Accredited Home Lenders in a TRO and breach of contract action over a wrongful default declared by Wachovia in a credit re-purchase agreement. RESULT: The case was resolved favorably, following the entry of a TRO.
- Represented Walter Hewlett in his challenge to the Hewlett-Packard/Compaq merger. In preparation for that trial, Mr. Sklaver deposed Compaq's former CEO Michael Capellas about his famous handwritten journal note which, describing the merger, stated "at our course and speed we will fail." Mr. Capellas was right.

EMPLOYMENT

- Represented one of the world's largest retailers in the defense of a four month long jury trial, wage and hour class action pending in California. One of the world's largest retailers appointed Susman Godfrey L.L.P. to be its national trial counsel for wage and hour litigation.

ANTITRUST

- Lead day-to-day lawyer for the class in *White, et al. v. NCAA*, a certified, antitrust class action alleging that the NCAA violated the federal antitrust laws by restricting amounts of athletic based financial aid. ESPN Magazine coverage of the lawsuit may be found [here](#). RESULT: The NCAA settled and paid an additional \$218 million for use by current student-athletes to cover the costs of attending college, paid \$10 million to cover educational and professional development expenses for former student-athletes, and enacted new legislation to permit Division I institutions to provide year-round comprehensive health insurance to student-athletes.

ENTERTAINMENT

- Represented NAACP image award winner Morris Taylor "Buddy" Sheffield in his breach of contract lawsuit against ABC Cable Networks Group regarding the creation of *Hannah Montana*. RESULT: Defendant settled less than four weeks before trial.

PRO BONO

- Appointed to represent Carl Petersen, who was charged by the United States Attorney's Office with being a felon in possession of a firearm — a charge that carries a five-year prison sentence and an 89% conviction rate. RESULT: Acquittal. Jury deliberation lasted less than four hours. Appointed by the United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit as appellate counsel in five cases, including: [United States v. Petersen](#); [United States v. Blaze](#) (specifically noting Mr. Sklaver's "good workmanship"); and [Sorrentino v. IRS](#) (appointed as *amicus curiae* by and for the Court)

SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.



Ryan Kirkpatrick

Partner

New York

(212) 729-2017

rkirkpatrick@susmangodfrey.com

Overview

Ryan Kirkpatrick rejoins Susman Godfrey after spending four years as General Counsel and Senior Managing Director of McCourt Global, an alternative asset management firm. In that role, Ryan served as head of the New York office where he oversaw all legal affairs of the firm and its business verticals, including a \$1 billion commercial real estate development joint venture, MG Sports & Media (which owns the LA Marathon and co-owns Global Champions Tour and Global Champions League), and MG Capital (owner of a private direct lender and registered investment adviser).

Ryan's experience at McCourt equipped him with a deep understanding of how to successfully manage and direct a wide variety of multi-national legal matters. Ryan obtained or negotiated billions of dollars in judgments, settlements, and transactions while at McCourt. Working on both the plaintiff and defense sides, Ryan also developed a deep understanding of and how to successfully leverage litigation (and the threat of it) to accomplish financial and business objectives while at the same time managing and mitigating the financial and operational costs of litigation to a business. For example, while serving as director of Global Champions League, Ryan initiated an EU competition law action against Fédération Equestre Internationale, the international governing body for equestrian sports. After obtaining a landmark preliminary injunction that was upheld by the Brussels Court of Appeals—and has implications for all international sports federations—Ryan helped negotiate a highly favorable settlement with the FEI. As of 2017, Global Champions League has now sold/licensed 18 team franchises and holds 15 events around the world. This use of EU competition law to effect worldwide relief for a client was reminiscent of one of Ryan's first cases at Susman Godfrey, where he and Steve Susman guided start-up mainframe manufacturer Platform Solutions, Inc. to a \$200 million buy-out by IBM following years of contentious antitrust, patent infringement, and copyright infringement proceedings in both the Southern District of New York and the European Commission.

Ryan was first elected to the Susman Godfrey partnership in 2011. At the time, he was representing Frank McCourt and the Los Angeles Dodgers in connection with Mr. McCourt's highly-publicized divorce and the team's bankruptcy. This three-year representation culminated in a favorable settlement of the divorce, the sale of the Dodgers to Guggenheim Partners for \$2.15 billion—the highest amount ever paid for a professional sports franchise—and the formation of a \$550 million joint venture with affiliates of Guggenheim Partners. Ryan has been interviewed and quoted by numerous media outlets regarding the case, including the Wall Street Journal, Bloomberg News, the Los Angeles Time, ESPN, the National Law Journal, the Associated Press, KABC, and KTLA. Shortly following the sale, Mr. McCourt asked Ryan to help lead McCourt Global.

Ryan was named among Lawdragon's [500 Leading Litigators in America](#) in 2022. Prior to his time at Susman Godfrey, Kirkpatrick clerked for the Hon. Ruggero J. Aldisert of the US Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit.

Education

- Yale University (B.A., Political Science, 2001)
- University of California, Los Angeles (J.D., Order of the Coif, 2005)

Clerkship

- Law Clerk to the Honorable Ruggero J. Aldisert, United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit (2005-2006)

Notable Representations

During his previous tenure at Susman Godfrey, Kirkpatrick led numerous successful litigation matters in a variety of legal areas including intellectual property, insurance, securities, antitrust and class actions. For example,

- Successfully represented various hedge funds investing in “stranger-owned life insurance,” including obtaining complete defense victory for a hedge fund in a case in which an insurer sued to rescind a \$20 million life insurance policy for alleged fraud and lack of an insurable interest, and initiating a class action against an insurer relating to cost of insurance increases that resulted in a settlement valued at \$134 million.
- Obtained a \$45 million damages judgment on behalf of Masimo Corporation in an antitrust case against Tyco Healthcare involving pulse oximetry products, which judgment was upheld by the Ninth Circuit on appeal, with the client receiving a net recovery of approximately \$27 million.
- Defeated class certification of a putative wage and hour class action brought against a subsidiary of Dean Foods.
- Obtained a \$16.5 million settlement for a group of investors in Seattle-based Dendreon Corporation in a case alleging securities fraud and insider trading, with the class receiving approximately \$12 million.
- Guided start-up mainframe manufacturer Platform Solutions, Inc. to a \$200 million buy-out by IBM following years of contentious of antitrust, patent infringement, and copyright infringement proceedings in both the Southern District of New York and the European Commission.
- Represented Frank McCourt and the Los Angeles Dodgers in connection with Mr. McCourt’s highly-publicized divorce and the team’s bankruptcy. This three-year representation culminated in a favorable settlement of the divorce, the sale of the Dodgers to Guggenheim Partners for \$2.15 billion—the highest amount ever paid for a professional sports franchise—and the formation of a \$550 million joint venture with affiliates of Guggenheim Partners.

Articles

“Rat Race: Insider Advice on Landing Judicial Clerkships,” 110 *Penn. St. L. Rev.* 835 (2006) (co-authored with the Honorable Ruggero J. Aldisert and James R. Stevens, III)

Professional Associations and Memberships

- State Bar of New York
- State Bar of California
- District of Columbia Bar
- United States District Court for the Central District of California
- United States District Court for the Northern District of California
- United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit
- United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas

SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.



Seth Ard Partner

New York
(212) 471-8354
sard@susmangodfrey.com

Overview

Seth Ard, a partner in Susman Godfrey's New York office and a member of the firm's Executive Committee, has secured substantial litigation victories for both plaintiffs and defendants. For plaintiffs, Ard was co-lead counsel for a certified class of insurance policy owners, helping them achieve what the Court in the Southern District of New York described as "the best settlement pound for pound for the class that I've ever seen." For defendants, Ard has obtained take-nothing judgments for NASDAQ and Dorfman Pacific in contract and intellectual property actions seeking tens of millions of dollars. Since 2019, Mr. Ard has been named one of the country's Leading Plaintiff Financial Lawyers by *Lawdragon*.

Before joining the firm, Mr. Ard clerked for the Honorable Shira A. Scheindlin of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, and for the Honorable Rosemary S. Pooler of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit. Mr. Ard graduated magna cum laude from Harvard Law School and completed his undergraduate work first in his class with a perfect GPA from Michigan State University, with dual degrees in philosophy and French literature. For the past three years, Ard has been recognized as a "Rising Star" in New York by Super Lawyers magazine.

Education

- Michigan State University, first in class, highest honors (B.A., Philosophy & French Literature, 1997)
- Northwestern University (M.A., A.B.D., Philosophy, 2003)
- Harvard Law School, magna cum laude (J.D. 2007)

Clerkship

Law Clerk to the Honorable Shira A. Scheindlin, United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, 2008-2009

Law Clerk to the Honorable Rosemary S. Pooler, United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, 2007-2008

Honors and Distinctions

- *Lawdragon* 500 Leading Litigator ([2022](#))
- *Lawdragon* 500 Leading Plaintiff Financial Lawyers ([2019](#), [2020](#), [2021](#), [2022](#), [2023](#))

- New York Super Lawyer ([2022](#), Thomson Reuters)
- New York Rising Star (2013-2018, Thomson Reuters)
- Teaching and Research Assistant for Professor Arthur Miller (Harvard Law School)
- Teaching Assistant for Professor Jon Hanson (Harvard Law School)
- Editorial Board, Harvard Civil Rights/Civil Liberties Law Review

Professional Associations and Memberships

State of New York

Notable Representations

In re LIBOR-Based Financial Instruments Litigation (SDNY) Along with Bill Carmody, Marc Seltzer, and Arun Subramanian, Ard serves as co-lead counsel for the class of over-the-counter purchasers of LIBOR-based instruments, directly representing Yale University and the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore as named plaintiffs. We reached a \$120 million settlement with Barclays, and pursue claims against the rest of the 16 LIBOR panel banks.

In re Municipal Derivatives Litigation (SDNY) Along with Bill Carmody and Marc Seltzer, Ard serves as co-lead counsel to a class of municipalities suing 10 large banks and broker for rigging municipal auctions. On behalf of the class and class counsel, Ard argued final approval and fee application motions approving cash settlements in excess of \$100 million, as well as several key discovery motions against defendants and the DOJ that paved the way for those settlements.

Fleisher et al. v. Phoenix Life Insurance Company (SDNY) Along with Steven Sklaver and Frances Lewis, Ard served as class counsel in a seminal action challenging 2 cost of insurance increases by Pheonix. After winning class certification and defeating two motions for class decertification and a motion for summary judgment, the case settled the day of the final Pretrial Conference in a settlement valued by the Court at over \$140 million. Judge Colleen McMahon praised Susman Godfrey's settlement of the case as "an excellent, excellent result for the class," which "may be the best settlement pound for pound for the class that I've ever seen."

Globus Medical v. Bonutti Skeletal (EDPA) Along with Jacob Buchdahl and Arun Subramanian, Ard represents defendant Bonutti Skeletal in patent litigation brought by Globus Medical. Ard successfully argued a partial motion to dismiss the patent complaint, defeating claims of indirect infringement, vicarious liability and punitive damages.

Sentius v. Microsoft (NDCA) Along with Max Tribble and Vineet Bhatia, Ard represented plaintiff Sentius in a patent infringement suit against Microsoft. A few weeks before trial, Ard successfully argued a Daubert motion that sought to exclude plaintiff's survey expert. The case settled on highly favorable terms within 24 hours of that motion being denied. Previously, Ard had successfully argued an early summary judgment motion and supplemental claim construction, both of which would have gutted plaintiff's claims.

Jefferies v. NASDAQ Arbitration (New York) Along with Steve Susman and Steve Morrissey, Ard represented NASDAQ and its affiliate IDCG in an arbitration in New York. The plaintiff, Jefferies & Co., sought tens of millions of dollars in damages based on a claim that it was fraudulently induced to clear interest rate swaps through the IDCG clearinghouse. After a one week arbitration trial in the fall of 2012, at which Ard put on NASDAQ's expert and crossed Jefferies' expert, the Panel issued a decision in January 2013 denying all of Jefferies' claims and awarding no damages. The arbitrators were former Judge Layn Phillips, Judge Vaughn R. Walker, and Judge Abraham D. Sofaer.

GMA v. Dorfman Pacific (SDNY) Along with Bill Carmody and Jacob Buchdahl, Ard obtained a complete defense victory on summary judgment in a trademark infringement dispute before Judge Forrest in SDNY.

We were hired after the close of discovery and after our client had suffered significant discovery sanctions that threatened to undermine its defense. We were able to overturn those sanctions, reopen discovery and obtain key admissions during a deposition of Plaintiff's CEO, and win on summary judgment (without argument and based on briefing done by Ard).

Washington Mutual Bankruptcy (Bkrcty. Del.) Along with Parker Folse, Edgar Sargent, and Justin Nelson, Ard represented the Official Committee of Equity Holders in Washington Mutual, Inc. at two trials contesting \$7 billion reorganization plans that would have wiped out shareholders stemming from the largest bank failure in American financial history. Both plans were supported by the debtor and all major creditors. After the first trial, at which Ard put on the Equity Committee's expert and crossed the debtor's expert, the Judge denied the plan of reorganization. The debtors and creditors negotiated a new reorganization plan that again would have wiped out shareholders. After the second trial, at which Ard put on the Equity Committee's expert, crossed the debtor's expert, and conducted a full-day cross examination of hedge fund Appaloosa Management that held over \$1 billion in creditor claims and that was accused of insider trading, the Court again denied the plan of reorganization, finding that the Equity Committee stated a viable claim of insider trading against the hedge funds. The Equity Committee then negotiated with the debtor and certain key creditors a resolution that provided shareholders with 95 percent of the post-bankruptcy WaMu plus other assets in a package worth hundreds of millions of dollars – an outstanding result especially given that when we were appointed counsel, the debtor tried to disband the equity committee on the ground that equity was “hopelessly out of the money” without any chance of recovery.

Lincoln Life v. LPC Holdings (Supreme Court Onandaga, New York) Along with Steven Sklaver and Arun Subramanian, Ard represented an insurance trust in STOLI litigation against an insurance company seeking to rescind a life insurance policy with a face value of \$20 million. After Ard argued and won a hotly contested motion to compel in which the Court threatened to revoke the pro hoc license of opposing counsel, Lincoln settled the case on very favorable terms.

SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.



Kevin Downs Associate

Houston
(713) 653-7844
kdowns@susmangodfrey.com

Overview

Kevin Downs represents plaintiffs and defendants in all types of commercial litigation. Kevin joined Susman Godfrey after clerking for Chief Judge Lee H. Rosenthal of the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas. While clerking, Kevin worked on a wide range of cases, at both the trial-court and appellate levels. Kevin graduated *magna cum laude* from the University of Pennsylvania Law School.

Before law school, Kevin spent many years as a concert cellist. He served as the principal cellist of the Houston-based Mercury Chamber Orchestra, performed with the Houston Grand Opera, and gave solo recitals across North America and Europe. His performances have been broadcast on National Public Radio and public television stations.

Education

University of Pennsylvania Law School (J.D., *magna cum laude*)

New England Conservatory (M.M., cello performance)

Cleveland Institute of Music (B.M., cello performance)

Clerkship

Hon. Lee H. Rosenthal, Chief Judge, United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas

Professional Associations and Memberships

Texas State Bar

California State Bar